Spectrum economics: serving public policies

Gérard POGOREL

Télécom Paris (Institut Polytechnique de Paris)

Abstract:

Since the 1990s, economic approaches and assessments have played an increasing role in policies for managing the radio-frequency spectrum. Along with stiffer competition in telecommunications, they have generated considerable benefits for citizens, consumers, industry and governments in Europe and the world. More recently, as concerns have grown about the relatively slow speed of the deployment of 4G networks and fears arisen about a repetition of these delays during the deployment of 5G, the ability of these economic and financial approaches to provide the guiding principles for a spectrum policy has come under question. This "spectrum economics" is examined, specifically the policies for assigning bandwidths. Examples of policy decisions with an impact on market developments along with evidence drawn from recent in-depth studies lead us to reconsider the principle that auctions are the most effective means for promoting competition and driving investment. We are also led to question another principle, namely: that the amount of revenue raised through an auction of bandwidths is overriding proof of the auction's success. After reviewing analyses of the causal relations and trade-offs between various methods for assigning bandwidths and setting fee structures, guidelines are suggested for a more effective, balanced approach to bandwidth assignments that would better align the award process with broader, economic and societal, objectives.

The rise of economic considerations in spectrum management

Until the 1990' technology and engineering considerations have prevailed in the management of the radio spectrum. In the last decades of the 20th century, however, the widespread view was that the promise of breakthroughs in telecommunications technologies were being hindered by the powers of legal monopolies, and that competition had to be introduced into the industry. In mobile communications, spectrum limited availability dictated that competition had to be managed ex-ante and the number of licensed operators had to be predetermined by governments and National Regulatory Authorities (NRAs). To overcome the apparent limitations of administrative procedures in selecting the competing licensees, auctions were adopted following the USA's successful example in 1994. They were considered more transparent, quicker, and removed the need for governments to pick winners.

The way had been paved by the Ronald Coase's seminal 1959 paper¹ advocating that spectrum assignment should be based on market and pricing mechanisms, where property rights are assigned with the objective of maximising output from a scarce resource. Its logic helped open the telecommunications industry to competition and brought about a change in business culture and practices. The belief that "market mechanisms" in spectrum management were an optimal means of solving assignment problems and promoting the industry and the economy was widely shared on the eve of the 3rd millenium, as illusrated by the 2002 FCC spectrum policy task force report², the 2002

¹ COASE R.H. (1959) "The federal communications commission", *Journal of Law and Economics*, vol. 2., pp. 1-40, Oct.

² Federal Communications Commission Spectrum Policy Task Force (2002), ET Docket No. 02- 135 November https://sites.nationalacademies.org/cs/groups/bpasite/documents/webpage/bpa-048826.pdf

UK Martin Cave independent Review of radio spectrum management³, and the European Commission 2005 Communication "A Market-based approach to spectrum management in the European Union⁴".

The priviledged area of economic approaches in spectrum usage has been and still is mobile telephony. It does not mean that economic considerations are absent in other commercial areas of frequency allocations, like broadcasting , satellite or PMSE, but they remain implicit or in the backgound. As for "free" unlicensed spectrum, it definitely plays a role in wireless connectivity, but smaller than it was considered early in the 21st century.

Spectrum auctions, a quintessential economic process, have allowed a competitive entry into the newly de-monopolised mobile communications market. At some point, in mobile, auctions had become synonimous with assignment. Auctions are "exciting⁵", they bring emotion into the otherwise dreary world of wireless technologies. Auction based frequency assignment has delivered the communications revolution we all benefit from today. However, after the truly competitive phase among pioneers, there has come a moment in which auctions have mostly taken place among a restricted group of usual suspects, which now have no choice but to bid to win, not to waste two decades of investments in their customer base. There is a growing body of evidence that spectrum auctions in their current configuration not only fail to stimulate connectivity investments, but also hinder them. Auctions now mostly maintain entry barriers protecting a strong oligopoly.

Studies show spectrum auctions have not delivered the best possible benefits from mobile

Over the last two decades, spectrum policy has been widely governed by high-level, generic, economic principles. The first one is that auctions are an effective process to select those operators that can use the frequencies most valuably. Evidence suggests that potential shortcomings with this assumption abound in relation to the deployment of communications networks⁶.

The second one is that by licensing a public resource, governments have a legal obligation to maximise the price, or, more correctly, to use market mechanisms to determine the amount paid by buyers that balances supply and demand of radio frequencies. This is a bold assumption that for the state to handle public funds optimally, it must behave as a private agent in the management of its assets. The evidence, however, shows that discrepancies arise between misguided public policies in spectrum assignment and the realisation of public objectives in industry and market growth.

The (2017) paper by Kuroda, Toshifumi, Baquero Forero, Maria Del Pilar⁷, compares the evolution of market outcomes in 47 countries after the assignment of mobile spectrum by auctions and beauty contests held from 2000 to 2008: "Findings suggest that auctions used to raise public revenues not only transfer profits to the government but also sacrifice consumer surplus."

A study by PolicyTracker, LS Telcom & VVA (2017) for the European Commission⁸ finds that "... the grouping with the highest auction prices also had the poorest network availability. This questions the common view that operators who pay high prices for spectrum must invest in their networks to make this money back." Countries where operators have paid the most for spectrum over the past decade, showed the worst 4G network availability.

⁶ The frequent use of the term "market failure" in the telecommunications networks context is questionable. Achieving 100% penetration cannot be the universally expected outcome in all markets. "Limited market penetration" would be more appropriate.

³ CAVE M. (2002), Review of radio spectrum management, An independent review for the department of trade and industry and HM Treasury, March https://web1.see.asso.fr/ICTSR1Newsletter/No004/RS%20Management%20-%202 title-42.pdf

⁴ COM (2005), 400, Communication from the Commission European Parliament and the Council, A Market-based approach to spectrum management in the European Union.

⁵ https://telecoms.com/501033/french-watchdog-outlines-mid-band-spectrum-auction-rules/

⁷ KURODA T. and BAQUERO FORERO M. D. P. (2017), "The effects of spectrum allocation mechanisms on market outcomes: Auctions vs beauty contests", *Telecommunications Policy*, June, Vol. 41(5-6), pp. 341-354.

⁸ European Commission, Study on spectrum assignment in the European Union, 4 October 2017, https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/2388b227-a978-11e7-837e-01aa75ed71a1/language-en

Hazlett and Munoz (2012) demonstrated that efficiencies associated with retail services in mobile markets are about 240 times as large as those associated with licence revenues⁹.

Finally, a research report, "Impact of Spectrum Prices on Consumers" by GSMA and NERA (2019)¹⁰, "provides strong evidence to directly link spectrum prices with slow network rollouts, reduced network quality and poorer mobile coverage".

These empirical studies confirm the analytical assumptions by Pogorel & Bohlin (2017) that spectrum auctions aimed at high spectrum fees do not serve to stimulate investments and network deployment¹¹. Consequently, most governments and regulators re-orient at least partially spectrum assignment conditions. Budget deficits however remain a looming consideration that contributes directly to the attractiveness of auctions. While revenue raising is an understandable and valid political choice, it comes with its own costs in terms of deprioritising other broader economic and social perspectives. In spectrum auctions, the means have superseded the aims, and a "successful" auction in the literature has been taken as one achieving high fees rather than positive social returns. It is true social and economic impacts, whatever the efforts recently devoted to analysing them, can be conceptually and practically hard to precisely measure and quantify. Overall there is a growing awareness that there are choices to be made and that spectrum assignment procedures can be effectively designed to deliver on these choices.

The blind faith in a solid relationship between spectrum auctions on one hand and mobile deployment on the other has given way to a wide array of hybrid criteria procedures that have in common the trade-off between frequency fee requirements and the speed of territory and population coverage.

Re-thinking spectrum assignment to better align public policy objectives and industry strategies

Governments having faced "...widespread public dissatisfaction around coverage, particularly outside urban areas". (Ofcom, 2016^{12}), are now concerned by uncertainties surrounding mobile business models.

The 2018 example of 4G licences renewal in France ¹³, extended terms of payment introduced in countries like Spain, Sweden ¹⁴, and India, and the possible abolition of fee maximisation in Colombia ¹⁵, are indications that something is beginning to give in the field of auctions. Japan eventually endorsing a "comprehensive strategic approach for 5G spectrum" putting aside auctions, shows that policymakers are perhaps willing to look at new, more dynamic approaches to spectrum assignment with a stronger focus on high-level economic objectives.

In setting objectives, it is important to keep in mind that spectrum having no intrinsic value, its value resides exclusively in the contribution its use makes possible for society and the economy. Appropriately designed policies reconcile public objectives and industry strategies. Competition being rightly at the heart of telecommunications industry dynamics, competitive propositions from candidate operators on investment and deployment objectives are central to effective assignment processes. With deployment objectives imbedded in the license, operators know precisely how to

https://www.gsma.com/spectrum/resources/effective-spectrum-pricing/

⁹ HAZLETT T W and MUÑOZ R E. (2012), What Really Matters in Spectrum Allocation Design, p. 102.

¹⁰ GSMA (2019), The Impact of Spectrum Prices on Consumers, 11 September, 2019,

¹¹POGOREL G. and BOHLIN E. (2017), "Spectrum 5.0: Improving assignment procedures to meet economic and social policy goals, A position paper", Working paper;

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316524026 Spectrum 50 Improving assignment procedures to meet economic and social policy goals A position paper

¹² OFCOM (2016), "Letter to telecommunications operator", quoted in "Mobile World Live", December 16.

¹³ ARCEP, https://www.arcep.fr/actualites/les-communiques-de-presse/detail/n/new-deal-mobile-1.html, 2 August 2018.

 $^{^{14}}$ PTS (2014), "Swedish Spectrum Strategy", *The Swedish Post and Telecom Authority* PTS-ER-2014:16 2014-04-15

¹⁵ PolicyTracker, https://www.policytracker.com/colombia-may-abolish-maximised-spectrum-prices.

define and implement their business model and strategy. The frequency fee paid to the government tends to lose its central status and is considered a normal counterpart of the use of spectrum resources for network deployment.

Contemporary examples of state of the art assignment procedures include the following.

Auctions on frequency fees with improved coverage obligations

Auctions with coverage obligations have been frequent in spectrum assignments in Europe: 25/25 assignments in the 800 MHz band and 12/23 in the 2.6 GHz band (Magi)¹⁶. Coverage obligations are increasingly extensive and accurately defined, as demonstrated by Ofcom in UK¹⁷, BNetzA in Germany¹⁸, and as in the cases of Italy¹⁹ and France²⁰.

NRAs, based on their appraisal of the public interest, have opened auctions with dual objectives: combining 90-95% coverage conditions and a spectrum fee auction²¹. The 2018 Danish award came up with an innovative twist in the coverage/investment combination: "Winning bidders will have the option of bidding for extra coverage obligations in exchange for a reduction in their licence price"²². This scheme opens the possibility for the regulator and the operator to avoid the dilemma between pre-defined, and operator-defined objectives.

Negotiated frequencies-for-investments

In the ARCEP (2018) "New Deal" example 23, which covers the renewal of French 4G licences, mobile operators are bound to an intensive and accelerated country coverage program. A similar scheme is implemented in Japan in 2019 24. The challenge of this approach is the competition angle: Is it a deal limited to existing licence holders, or are new entrants admitted to the negotiating table? How are they selected? To combine the competition imperatives with the "New Deal", a 2-stage process could be implemented: Select one or more new entrants through tender, then negotiate their investments.

In the investment centred assignment procedures, frequency fees do not play the central role. The procedure must nevertheless determine what charges should be paid for the use of spectrum as a limited public resource. Japan for instance has a long experience of techno-economic frequency fee determination²⁵.

Extended licence duration has been advocated by powerful voices from both operators and the European Commission. The argument is that an extended investment horizon can drive more investment²⁶. Most national governments and many NRAs have resisted this move. There is a need for sufficient duration to correspond to investment cycles and to allow investors to recover their expenses and fully exploit their investments. But, as stated by one NRA²⁷ "... the duration cannot be too long, in order to allow the State, within a reasonable time, to be able to regain possession of the

²²Telecompaper (2018), Danish govt issues final rules for 700 MHz, 900 MHz, and 2 300 MHz auctions, Monday 25 June.

¹⁶ MAGI A. (2017), "Assessment of the socio-economic impact of mobile broadband auctions", Thesis for the Master's degree, Politecnico di Torino, March.

¹⁷OFCOM (2018), *Advice to Government: Further options for improving mobile coverage*, https://www.ofcom.org.uk/phones-telecoms-and-internet/coverage/advice-government-improving-mobile-coverage, 14 September 2018.

¹⁸Bundesnetzagentur (2019), "Mobile broadband – Spectrum for 5G"

<a href="https://www.bundesnetzagentur.de/EN/Areas/Telecommunications/Companies/FrequencyManagement/ElectronicCommunicationsServices/FlectronicCommunicationsProjects/Plantagement/ElectronicCommunicationsServices/FlectronicCommunicationsProjects/Plantagement/ElectronicCommunic

es/ElectronicCommunicationServices node.html

19 AGCom (2018), Delibera n. 231/18/CONS, p. 76 316.

²⁰ ARCEP (2019), https://www.arcep.fr/la-regulation/grands-dossiers-reseaux-mobiles/la-5g.html

²¹ OFCOM (2018), ibid.

²³ ARCEP (2018), https://www.arcep.fr/cartes-et-donnees/new-deal-mobile.html

²⁴ IDATE-EU 5G Observatory (2019), "Japan assigns 5G spectrum to four operators", April 16 https://5gobservatory.eu/japan-assigns-5g-spectrum-to-four-operators/

²⁵ Ministry of Industry and Communications, Japan (2019), "Spectrum User Fee", https://www.tele.soumu.go.jp/e/fees/index.htm

²⁶ JEANJEAN F. and LIANG J. (2018), "Mobile investment per capita tends to increase with license duration", February 20, Working Paper, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3184200

²⁷AGCom, https://www.agcom.it/documents/10179/3478659/Allegato+7-8-2018/637af9a9-8a60-4b3e-8ac0-3ce2cd808ac4?version=1.0

resource where necessary for the purpose of maintaining the efficient use of the scarce resource over time 28".

The bottom line is, frequency fees, CAPEX and OPEX, license duration, enter into a familar investment calculus mastered by operators. Two main factors can disrupt the process: a too high reserve price set in the auction, and the auction process itself, which can prove to be wildly unpredictable as happened in 2018 in Italy²⁹.

A range of economic tools for public policies

Regulation principles across the Atlantic regarding network access, competition monitoring, have diverged now for 20 years. The American experience, for instance incentive auctions of broadcasting spectrum, could certainly provide food for thought. As for China, it has focused on administrative licensing. The general context, the authoritarian political governance and scale makes it impossible to compare wireless developments with the EU, but the country's rapid progress on 5G demands attention. As for Japan, it has stuck for 5G to administrative assignment of spectrum and techno-administrative determination of spectrum fees.

We observe a trend of spectrum assignment procedures to better balance the legitimate imperative of raising revenues for the government with the achievement of broader economic and social objectives. The fixation on auctions on fees as the panacea for competition has been put aside and the focus instead is on assignment processes that underpin the competitive dynamics required to maximise and then realise the full potential of spectrum use to drive economic and social progress. Once policy objectives have been prioritised, governments and regulators now have at their disposal a wide range of spectrum assignment designs to adjust their spectrum assignment procedures to public policy goals.

<u>References</u>

CAVE M. & WEBB W. (2015), Spectrum Management, Cambridge University Press.

CHADUC M. & POGOREL G. (2008), The Radio Spectrum: Managing a strategic resource, ISTE-WILEY, London, UK.

HAZLETT T.H. (2017), The Political Spectrum, Yale University Press.

LESCOP D. & BUNEL A. (2016), Régulation et gestion du spectre hertzien : organisation et perspectives, pp. 165-183 in BOURREAU M. (ed.), Régulation des communications électroniques, Paris, Lavoisier.

POGOREL G. (2018), Spectrum 5.0 Re-thinking Spectrum Awards for Optimal 5G Deployment , Working Paper https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01892202

POGOREL G. (2007), "Nine regimes of radio spectrum management: a 4-step decision guide", Communications & Strategies, (65): 169-184, April 2007.

POGOREL G., BOHLIN E. & MASSARO M. (2015), Socio-Economic Impact of Spectrum Regulation, Competition and Consumer Protection, ITU Spectrum Management Training Programme, January 2015 https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7926934

SALANT D. J. (2015), *A Primer on auction design management and strategy*, The MIT Press, Cambridge Ms. STANDEFORD D. (2019), "Spectrum Auctions, the current policy debates", *A PolicyTracker White Paper*, August.

SIMS M., YOUELL T. & WOMERSLEY R. (2016), Understanding spectrum liberalisation, CRC Press, London.

UIT (2018), Aspects économiques de la gestion du spectre, Genève https://www.itu.int/pub/R-REP-SM.2012-6-2018/fr

VU K., FORGE S. & BOHLIN E. (2019), "5G Promise and Deployment Strategy: A Note for Policy Makers in Developing Countries", submitted to Telecommunications Policy.

²⁸ The debate on licence duration was particularly contested during negotiations on the European Electronic Communications Code (EECC), with the co-legislators finally settling on 20 years (15+5) minimum duration.

²⁹CORCOM (2018), https://www.corrierecomunicazioni.it/telco/aste-frequenze-litalia-fara-scuola-in-europa-ecco-le-posizioni-in-campo/